From: Sent:

Angela Kernozek [akernozek@yahoo.com] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozek Manager Carlton Park Apartments 13521 Woodruff Ave Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

#### Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozek 562-866-7663 Manager Carlton Park Apartments

From:

Angela Kernozek [akernozek@yahoo.com] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM

Sent:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozek Manager Carlton Park Apartments 13521 Woodruff Ave Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

## Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozek 562-866-7663 Manager Carlton Park Apartments

From:

Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM

Sent: To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey 13330 Ewing St. Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey 13330 Ewing St. Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM

Sent:

Michael Copps

To: Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey 13330 Ewing St. Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

## Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

To:

Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey 13330 Ewing St. Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

#### Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey 13330 Ewing St. Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

#### Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:44 PM

To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz 1105 Wild Cherry Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:43 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz 1105 Wild Cherry Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net]
Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:43 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz 1105 Wild Cherry Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:43 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz 1105 Wild Cherry Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner 34 Cobblestone Road Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner 985-223-5760

From: Sent:

Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner 34 Cobblestone Road Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

#### Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner 985-223-5760

From:

Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper 4610 McTyre Way NW Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

From:

Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]

Sent: To: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM

Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pav Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper 4610 McTyre Way NW Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

## Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

From:

Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM

To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper 4610 McTyre Way NW Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

## Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

From:

jke610@bellsouth.net

Sent:

Saturday, September 18, 2004 11:01 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject: a la carte

I would like you to stop the proposal "a la carte" I think that it would be an infingment on our freedom of speach.. Everyone is trying to be so politically correct. No one seems to be so correct on the subject of Religion when it comes to God. If it is so politically correct, why not give Him an equal chance. Thank you.

From:

jke610@bellsouth.net

Sent:

Saturday, September 18, 2004 11:01 PM Michael Powell

To:

Cc:

Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; kevijmartin@fcc.gov; jonathanadelstien@fcc.gov

Subject:

a la carte

I would like you to stop the proposal "a la carte" I think that it would be an infingment on our freedom of speach.. Everyone is trying to be so politically correct. No one seems to be so correct on the subject of Religion when it comes to God. If it is so politically correct, why not give Him an equal chance. Thank you.

From:

jke610@bellsouth.net

Sent:

Saturday, September 18, 2004 11:01 PM Michael Powell

To:

Cc:

Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; kevijmartin@fcc.gov; jonathanadelstien@fcc.gov

Subject:

a la carte

I would like you to stop the proposal "a la carte" I think that it would be an infingment on our freedom of speach.. Everyone is trying to be so politically correct. No one seems to be so correct on the subject of Religion when it comes to God. If it is so politically correct, why not give Him an equal chance. Thank you.

From:

jlmtmcook@bvillemn.net

Sent:

Friday, September 17, 2004 1:03 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Citizen concern on A la carte cable regulation

Dear Mr. Powell,

I am a concerned citizen and I would like to register my opposition to the A la carte Cable Regulation. Thank you, Mrs. Lisa Cook

From: Sent:

Joseph Beard [jbeard@freedomforum.org] Wednesday, September 15, 2004 7:56 PM

To:

**FCCINFO** 

Cc: Subject: Michael Powell cable rates inquiry

I'd like to know why it is I can't just buy the individual cable channels I want? I have to pay for a preselected package of channels, most of which I don't like or watch and object to supporting with my dollars, i.e. MTV.

From:

Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 1:37 PM

Sent: To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak 247 Arbor Hill Rd Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 1:38 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak 247 Arbor Hill Rd Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 1:38 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak 247 Arbor Hill Rd Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 1:37 PM

Sent: To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak 247 Arbor Hill Rd Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

To:

Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com] Friday, October 15, 2004 1:37 PM

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak 247 Arbor Hill Rd Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

## Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Brad Mersereau [bjmerser@yahoo.com] Thursday, October 07, 2004 11:57 AM

Sent:

mn03@mail.house.gov

Cc: Subject: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein

pay per channel or "a la carte" pricing

I implore you Congressman Ramstad to vote NO regarding the pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing legislation. I, as do many others, see this as another attack on religious freedom and a violation of First Amendment rights in this country. Please stand with the ACLJ and other religious leaders and vote NO to the pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing legislation.

I understand that this legislation may be well intentioned, to protect children form unwanted adult programming but the implications to religious programming are not worth the price. I believe that the market place should dictate to the cable companies this needed change. Don't throw the baby out with the bath water. Vote NO!

Thank you for your time. A long time supporter. Sincerely,

#### Brad Mersereau

Mersereau's Virtual Office Services

Certified Microsoft Office Specialist 2000/2002

763-425-7490

thevoa2003@yahoo.com

Do you Yahoo!?

Y! Messenger - Communicate in real time. Download now.

From:

Ben Ferrell [ben@bmcadv.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 3:45 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Ben Ferrell President BMC Advertising 2419 E. Skelly Drive Tulsa, OK 74105

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

#### Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ben Ferrell 918-743-4600 President BMC Advertising

From:

Ben Ferrell [ben@bmcadv.com]

Sent: To: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 3:45 PM

Michael Copps

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Ben Ferrell President BMC Advertising 2419 E. Skelly Drive Tulsa, OK 74105

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ben Ferrell 918-743-4600 President BMC Advertising

From:

Ben Ferrell [ben@bmcadv.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 3:45 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Ben Ferrell President BMC Advertising 2419 E. Skelly Drive Tulsa, OK 74105

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ben Ferrell 918-743-4600 President BMC Advertising